



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/853,622	05/14/2001	Kazuhiko Hayashi	NEC2370-US	7276	
30743	7590 04/28/2003				
	WHITHAM, CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON, P.C.			EXAMINER	
11491 SUNSET HILLS ROAD SUITE 340			RENNER, CRAIG A		
RESTON, VA 20190			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2652		
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2003	8	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

 $-\infty$

Application No. 09/853,622

Applicant(s)

Hayashi et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Craig A. Renner

Art Unit 2652

The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS S THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (GET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply will not period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, or any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 May	y 2001			
2a) \square This action is FINAL . 2b) $ ot\boxtimes$ This	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowand closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	ce except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-21</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideratio			
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
_	is/are rejected.			
_	is/are objected to.			
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed onis	s/are a accepted or b objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: all approved bl disapproved by the Examine			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
application from the International Bu				
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes	· · ·			
a) U The translation of the foreign language provision				
	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				

Application/Control Number: 09/853,622

Art Unit: 2652

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

- I. Claims 1-10 and 20-21, drawn to a "magnetoresistive effect sensor", classified in class 360, subclass 324.2.
- II. Claims 11-19, drawn to a "method for manufacturing a magnetoresistive effect sensor", classified in class 29, subclass 603.01.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of groups II and I are related as process of making and product made, respectively. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, such as "sputtering", for instance. Although product claims 4 and 9 call for "sputtering", note that "[d]etermination of patentability in 'product-by-process' claims is based on product itself, even though such claims are limited and defined by process [i.e., "sputtering", for instance], and thus product in such claim is unpatentable if it is the same as, or obvious form, product of prior art, even if prior product was made by a different process", *In re Thorpe, et al.*, 227 USPQ 964 (CAFC 1985). Furthermore, note that a "[p]roduct-by-process claim, although reciting subject matter of claim in terms of how it is made [i.e., "sputtering", for instance], is still product claim; it is patentability of product claimed and not recited process steps that must be

Application/Control Number: 09/853,622 Page 3

Art Unit: 2652

established, in spite of fact that claim may recite only process limitations", *In re Hirao and Sato*, 190 USPQ 685 (CCPA 1976).

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 C.F.R. § 1.143).
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning the above referenced application should be directed to the examiner, Craig A. Renner, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0559, and whose facsimile

Art Unit: 2652

number is (703) 872-9314. The examiner can normally be reached Tuesday through Friday from 7:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. E.S.T.

Craig A. Renner
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2652

CAR April 25, 2003